



Town of Gilman, New Hampshire
Gilman Planning Board
Academy Building, 503 Province Road
PO Box 550
Gilman, New Hampshire 03237
planning@gilmannh.org
Phone: (603) 267-6700 – Fax: (603) 267-6701

Roy Buttrick, Chair
William Mahoney, Vice Chair
Shane Bruneau, Member
Brett Currier, Member
Vincenzo Sisti, Member
Mark Warren, Selectmen Rep
Dustin Milliken, Alt. Member
Mark Fougere, Certified Planner
Bre Daigneault, Planning Admin

JOINT PLANNING BOARD & ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENTS WORK SESSION

Minutes of July 9, 2020

ROLL CALL

PB Member Shane Bruneau, PB Chairman Roy Buttrick, PB Vice Chairman Bill Mahoney, PB Member Brett Currier, PB Member Vincenzo Sisti, Planning Administrator Bre Daigneault, ZBA Chairwoman Elizabeth Hackett, and ZBA Member Zannah Richards were present at this meeting. There were no members of the public present.

WORKSHOP

Members discussed the prior year's proposed warrant articles and how each board could communicate better with one another. Hackett felt the PB should have approached the ZBA prior to warrant article discussions to see which articles they see residents having problems with. Currier used the example of the ordinance limiting the number of campers you can have on your property. ZBA members felt having additional campers would allow for additional people living on the property. Sisti commented on the aesthetical issue with allowing multiple campers on properties. Daigneault clarified the failed proposed ordinance change was for storage of campers only, not allowing the use of multiple campers on a lot. Members discussed the option of an aesthetics ordinance to help keep property appearances in respectable condition. Hackett felt the proposed table changes on the warrant articles the prior year took away from the purpose of having a ZBA. The two boards look at different aspects of a request and ZBA should not be left out of the process. Buttrick suggested each board look at the Route 106 corridor for commercial uses and make suggestions on what they feel could be allowable. Currier felt the light business zone does not currently allow for much business development. He would like to see further allowable uses in that zone, not to bypass the ZBA but to make it easier for people to open a business in the light business zone. Members discussed meeting with one another again to further discuss potential ordinance updates. It was agreed each board would brainstorm at their perspective August meetings and would hold another joint meeting in September. Ordinances and topics of interest included aesthetics, multi-use, light business, Route 106 corridor, noise pollution (possible curfew times), light pollution, and lot usage (only a certain percentage of property could be developed). Members agreed to reconvene in September.

Respectfully Submitted,
Bre Daigneault, Planning Administrator

Authorized by


Chairman C. Roy Buttrick

Date:

